



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/768,260 | | 01/30/2004 | David J. Balaban | 3068.5B | 5916 |
| 22886 | 759 | 06/07/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| AFFYM ATTN: C | | I, INC P COUNSEL, LEGA | AMSBURY, WAYNE P | | |
| 3380 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SANTA CLARA, CA 95051 | | | | 2161 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/768,260 | BALABAN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Wayne Amsbury | 2161 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/30/0 | <u>04</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| • • | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Au 1 4 7 | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (| (PTO_413) | | | | | |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| S Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2161

CLAIMS 1-29 ARE PENDING

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-29 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,687,692. This is a double patenting rejection.

The claims are word-for-word identical.

2. Claims 1-29 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,185,561. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

The instant claims are a broadening of those of the '561 patent. Using claim 1 from each set as exemplary, the instant claim 1 is broader than claim 1 of '561 because it is not explicitly limited by measurement of expression levels.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,260 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Amsbury whose telephone number is 571-272-4015. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6-18:30 FIRST WEEK.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WPA

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER